

Direct Link Networks (II)

Assigned reading: Peterson and Davie: Chapter 2.5 – 2.9. All problems carry equal weight. Please show all your work.

1. Multiple Access

Nodes A and B are attached via a 200 m cable. Signal propagation speed is 2×10^8 m/sec, and the transmission rate is 40 Mbps. There is a single repeater between A and B, inserting a 10-bit delay. A has a frame of 175 bytes (including all headers and preambles) to send to B at $t=0$.

- What is the one-way propagation delay between A and B?

$$\text{Signal delay} = 200\text{m}/(2 \times 10^8 \text{ m/sec}) = 1 \mu\text{s}$$

$$\text{Repeater delay} = 10\text{bit}/40\text{Mbps} = 0.25 \mu\text{s}$$

$$\text{Propagation delay} = 1+0.25 = 1.25 \mu\text{s}$$

- Find the time when A's packet is delivered at B.

$$1400\text{bit}/40\text{Mbps} = 35 \mu\text{s}$$

$$1.25+35 = 36.25 \mu\text{s}$$

In addition to A's frame, B also has a frame of 175 bytes to send to A. CSMA/CD with backoff intervals of multiples of 512 bits is used. When a collision occurs, A chooses $K=0$ and B chooses $K=1$ in the exponential backoff protocol. Ignore the jam signal and assume frame is sent immediately on an idle line. When will B's frame be delivered at A in the following two instances?

- B is ready to send at $t=1 \mu\text{s}$. Is the line busy? Is a collision detected? When will B's frame arrive at A?

Line is not busy. However, collision is detected at $t = 1.25 \mu\text{s}$ at B, and $2.25 \mu\text{s}$ at A. A resends starting at $2.25 \mu\text{s}$, and the frame is delivered at $2.25+36.25 = 38.50 \mu\text{s}$. B will then send at that time, so that B's frame arrives at A at $38.50 + 36.25 = 74.75 \mu\text{s}$.

- B is ready to send at $t=20 \mu\text{s}$. Is the line busy? Is a collision detected? When will B's frame arrive at A?

Line is busy. B can start sending at $36.25 \mu\text{s}$. Thus, B's frame arrives at A at $36.25 + 36.25 = 72.50 \mu\text{s}$.

2. Ethernet Timing

This problem is about the Ethernet/IEEE 802.11 access protocol. To be definite, suppose that if a host detects a transmission while it is transmitting a frame, then: (i) if the host has already transmitted the 64 bit preamble, the host stops transmitting the frame and sends a 32 bit jamming sequence; (ii) else the host finishes transmitting the 64 bit preamble and then sends a 32 bit jamming sequence. For simplicity, assume a collision is detected as soon as an interfering signal first begins to reach a host. Suppose the packets are 512 bits long, which is the minimum length allowed. Hosts A and B are the only active hosts on a 20 Mbps Ethernet and the propagation time between them is $6 \mu\text{s}$, or 120 bit durations. Suppose A begins transmitting a frame at time $t = 0$, and just before the beginning of the frame reaches B, B begins sending a frame, and then almost immediately B detects a collision.

- Does A finish transmitting the frame before it detects that there was a collision? Explain.
- What time does A finish sending a jamming signal? What time does B finish sending a jamming signal?
- What time does A first hear an idle channel again? What time does B first hear an idle channel again?
- Suppose each host next decides to retransmit immediately after hearing the channel idle. After the resulting (second) collision: When does A next hear the channel idle? When does B next hear the channel idle?
- Suppose after the second collision, A decides to wait 512 bit durations to retransmit (if it hears silence after that long) and B decides to retransmit immediately after hearing a silent channel. Is the transmission of host B successful?

- f. At the time A was planning to send its second retransmission, it senses a carrier present. Suppose at that particular time A decides to wait $3 \times 51.2 \mu\text{s}$ more until its next retransmission. What time does host A finish sending its packet?
- No, A does not finish because it hears B after only 240 of the 64+512 bits are transmitted.
 - $13.6 \mu\text{s}$, $10.8 \mu\text{s}$
 - $16.8 \mu\text{s}$, $19.6 \mu\text{s}$
 - $30.4 \mu\text{s}$, $33.2 \mu\text{s}$
 - Yes.
 - Typo: wait time should have been 3×25.6 because 20 Mbps ethernet
 $173.6 \mu\text{s}$ (with $3 \times 25.6 \mu\text{s}$ wait time)
 $167.2 \mu\text{s}$ (with $3 \times 25.6 \mu\text{s}$ wait time, and packet size 64+448)
 $250.4 \mu\text{s}$ (with $3 \times 51.2 \mu\text{s}$ wait time)
 $244.0 \mu\text{s}$ (with $3 \times 51.2 \mu\text{s}$ wait time, and packet size 64+448)

3. Ethernet

Consider the following two possibilities:

- We put 20 hosts on an Ethernet operating at 10 Mbps.
- We create two separate Ethernets, with 10 hosts operating on each of the Ethernets, and each of the Ethernets operating at 5 Mbps.
 - Suggest one potential advantage of approach (i) compared to (ii).

Advantage: Because approach (i) has an Ethernet operating at a rate higher than approach (ii), we can have faster transmissions in approach (i) (when the traffic intensity is low).

- Suggest one potential disadvantage of approach (i) compared to (ii).

Disadvantage: When the traffic intensity is high, transmissions in approach (i) may incur more delay due to more contention (and possibly more collisions) than approach (ii).

4. Stop-and-wait and Sliding Window

Nodes A and B are connected by a link with bandwidth 100 Mbps. The delay between A and B is 8 ms. Data packet size is 1000 Bytes, and ACKs have negligible size. Timeout for the Sliding Window problems is $2 \times \text{RTT}$.

- What is the maximum throughput using stop-and-wait?
 - What is the throughput using a Sliding Window with $\text{SWS} = \text{RWS} = 5$?
 - Draw the timeline when the 4th ACK is lost.
 - What is the minimum size of the SWS and RWS that can get the maximum throughput?
 - Using the SWS and RWS size in (c), what is the throughput when every 100th packet is lost (the first send of packet number 100, 200, 300, etc. are lost; resends are not lost)?
- Time taken for data packet transfer: $1000 \times 8 \text{ bits} / 100 \text{ Mbps} + 16 \text{ ms} = 16.08 \text{ ms}$
Throughput: $1000 \times 8 \text{ bits} / 16.08 \text{ ms} = 497.5 \text{ Kbps}$
 - Time taken for five data packets transferred: $5 \times 1000 \times 8 \text{ bits} / 100 \text{ Mbps} + 16 \text{ ms} = 16.4 \text{ ms}$
Throughput: $5 \times 1000 \times 8 \text{ bits} / 16.4 \text{ ms} = 2.439 \text{ Mbps}$
 - (picture below)
 - Bandwidth \times Delay = $100 \text{ Mbps} \times 16 \text{ ms} = 1600 \text{ Kb}$.
 $1600 \text{ Kb} / 8000 \text{ bits} = 200 = \text{SWS} = \text{RWS}$
 - Time for 100th packet to get on line: $1000 \times 8 \times 99 \text{ bits} / 100 \text{ Mbps} = 7.92 \text{ ms}$
Time until timeout: $12 \times 2 = 24 \text{ ms}$
Time for 100th packet resend ack: $1000 \times 8 \text{ bits} / 100 \text{ Mbps} + 12 \text{ ms} = 12.08 \text{ ms}$
 $299 \text{ packets sent in } 7.92 + 24 + 12.08 = 44 \text{ ms}$; Throughput = $299 \times 1000 \times 8 \text{ bits} / 44 \text{ ms} = 54.36 \text{ Mbps}$

5. Sliding Window

Suppose that we run the sliding window algorithm with $\text{SWS} = 5$ and $\text{RWS} = 3$, and no out-of-order arrivals.

- a. Find the smallest value for MaxSeqNum. You may assume that it suffices to find the smallest MaxSeqNum such that if DATA[MaxSeqNum] is in the receive window, then DATA[0] can no longer arrive.
 - b. Give an example showing that MaxSeqNum - 1 is not sufficient.
 - c. State a general rule for the minimum MaxSeqNum in terms of SWS and RWS.
- a. $SWS+RWS-1 = 7$
 - b. Sender sends frames 0,...,4. Receiver receives but then the ACKS are all lost. Now, receiver's SeqNum for LFR=4 and LAF=7%7=0. When Sender resends frame 0, it is interpreted to be frame 7 (when it is actually 0).
 - c. $SWS+RWS$ possible sequence numbers, so $MaxSeqNum = SWS+RWS-1$

6. Token Ring Throughput

Consider a token ring with a data rate of 50 Mbps, a ring latency of 120 μ sec, and 1000 bit packets.

- a. Assuming only one host wants to transmit and the delayed token release scheme is used, what is the maximum effective throughput rate that can be achieved? What is the efficiency?
 - b. Now assume N hosts want to transmit on the token ring and the token holding time (THT) is 250 μ sec. What is the token rotation time? What is the maximum effective throughput rate that can be achieved? What is the efficiency?
 - c. Under the assumptions of part b, and using the immediate release scheme, what is the throughput rate that can be achieved?
- a. Throughput is given by $(\text{packet size})/\text{TRT} = (\text{packet size})/(2 * \text{ring latency} + \text{packet size} / \text{bandwidth})$.
So throughput would be $1000/(2 * 120 \mu\text{sec} + 1000/ (50 \text{ Mbps})) = 3,846,154 \text{ bps}$
Efficiency = $\text{throughput}/\text{bandwidth} = 3,846,154/50\text{Mbps} = 7.69\%$
 - b. $\text{TRT} \leq N * (\text{THT} + \text{ring latency}) = (N * (250 + 120)) \mu\text{sec} = (N * 370) \mu\text{sec}$
Throughput for one cycle is $(\#\text{packets transmitted})/(\text{actual TRT})$
each station can transmit 12 packets before THT expires
Throughput = $(N * 12 * (\text{packet size})) / ((N * (12 * (\text{packet size})/\text{bandwidth}) + \text{ring latency}))$
Efficiency = $\text{throughput}/\text{bandwidth}$
 - c. Throughput for one cycle is $(\#\text{packets transmitted})/(\text{actual TRT})$
each station can transmit 12 packets before THT expires
Throughput = $(N * 12 * (\text{packet size})) / ((N * 12 * (\text{packet size})/\text{bandwidth}) + \text{ring latency})$